1	Gary S. Lincenberg – State Bar No. 123058 glincenberg@birdmarella.com Ariel A. Neuman – State Bar No. 241594 aneuman@birdmarella.com Gopi K. Panchapakesan – State Bar No. 279586 gpanchapakesan@birdmarella.com BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT, NESSIM, DROOKS, LINCENBERG & RHOW, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Los Angeles, California 90067-2561 Telephone: (310) 201-2100 Facsimile: (310) 201-2110	
7	Facsimile: (310) 201-2110	
8	Attorneys for Claimant John Brunst	
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Seizure of:	CASE NO. 2:18-cv-06742-RGK-PJW
13	Any and all funds held in Republic	CLAIMANT JOHN BRUNST'S
14	Bank of Arizona Account(s) xxxx1889, xxxx2592, xxxx1938,	JOINDER IN JAMES LARKIN'S MOTION TO ACCESS AND USE
15	xxxx2912, and xxxx2500.	PURPORTEDLY INADVERTENTLY PRODUCED MATERIALS
16		Date: October 29, 2018
17		Time: 9:00 A.M. Crtrm.: 850
18		Assigned to Hon. R. Gary Klausner
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3523608.1	

TO THE COURT AND ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD: 1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Claimant John Brunst, by and through his 2 3 counsel, will and hereby does join in James Larkin's Motion to Access and Use Purportedly Inadvertently Produced Materials (the "Motion") [D.E. 69], and adopts 4 5 all of the positions set forth in the Motion as if fully set forth herein. Mr. Brunst joins in the Motion because he is "so similarly situated [to 6 Mr. Larkin] that filing an independent motion would be redundant." *Tatung Co.*, 7 Ltd. v. Shu Tze Hsu, 217 F. Supp. 3d 1138, 1151 (C.D. Cal. 2016). Like Mr. Larkin, 8 Mr. Brunst holds an interest in assets that were seized pursuant to civil seizure 9 warrants issued by the magistrate judges in this District, and which are the subject of 10 11 Mr. Larkin's Motion to Vacate or Modify Seizure Warrants (the "Seizure Motion") [D.E. 6]. The Motion raises issues that are directly relevant to and overlap with the 12 13 seizures of Mr. Brunst's assets. All of the arguments made in the Motion therefore apply with equal force to the seizure warrants issued against the assets in which 14 15 Mr. Brunst holds an interest. 16 DATED: September 26, 2018 17 Respectfully submitted, 18 Bird, Marella, Boxer, Wolpert, Nessim, Drooks, Lincenberg & Rhow, P.C. 19 20 By: /s/ Gary S. Lincenberg Gary S. Lincenberg 21 Attorneys for Claimant John Brunst 22 23 24 On August 6, 2018, Mr. Brunst filed a joinder in the Seizure Motion as to the three seizure warrants that purport to seize assets in which Mr. Brunst holds an interest. D.E. 9. Counsel understands that these three warrants, along with the 26 14 other seizure warrants that purport to seize funds derived from Backpage.com advertisements that allegedly solicited prostitution, have been consolidated with the 27 above-captioned seizure warrant, and were subsequently transferred from Magistrate 28 Judge Walsh to District Judge Klausner as a new civil action. D.E. 14.